



Commissioner for Patents United States Patent and Trademark Office P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

JJGJR.:	07.04
11/U1K	07-00

Paper No: \_\_

REED SMITH, LLP TWO EMBARCADERO CENTER SUITE 2000 SAN FRANCISCO CA 94111

COPY MAILED

JUL 2 4 2006

OFFICE OF PETITIONS

In re Application of

Erickson, et al.

Application No. 09/708,172 : DECISION

Filing Date: 7 November, 2000 :

Attorney Docket No.: 20583.00110 :

This is a decision on the petition filed on 12 June, 2006, alleging unintentional delay under 37 C.F.R. §1.137(b).

For the reasons set forth below, the petition under 37 C.F.R.§1.137(b) is **GRANTED**.

### **BACKGROUND**

#### The record reflects that:

- Petitioner failed to reply timely and properly to the Notice of Allowance/Allowability and Fees Due and Notice of Allowability mailed on 21 April, 2005, with reply due absent extension of time on or before 21 July, 2005;
- the instant application went abandoned after midnight 21 July, 2005;
- the Office mailed a Notice of Abandonment on 21 November, 2005 (and again on 26 May, 2006);
- on 12 June, 2006, Petitioner filed the instant petition (with fee) and the reply in the form of fees due, and made the statement of unintentional delay.

Out of an abundance of caution, Petitioners always are reminded that:

- the practice of using the former one- (1-) year deadline following abandonment as an unpaid extension of time is an unacceptable practice and gives the appearance of intentional delay; and
- those registered to practice *and* all others who make representations before the Office are reminded to inquire into the underlying facts of representations made to the Office and support averments with the appropriate documentation—since all owe to the Office the continuing duty to disclose.<sup>1</sup>

Specifically, the regulations at 37 C.F.R. §10.18 provide:

#### § 10.18 Signature and certificate for correspondence filed in the Patent and Trademark Office.

(a) For all documents filed in the Office in patent, trademark, and other non-patent matters, except for correspondence that is required to be signed by the applicant or party, each piece of correspondence filed by a practitioner in the Patent and Trademark Office must bear a signature by such practitioner complying with the provisions of §1.4(d), §1.4(e), or § 2.193(c)(1) of this chapter.

(b) By presenting to the Office (whether by signing, filing, submitting, or later advocating) any paper, the party presenting such paper, whether a practitioner or non-practitioner, is certifying that—

- (1) All statements made therein of the party's own knowledge are true, all statements made therein on information and belief are believed to be true, and all statements made therein are made with the knowledge that whoever, in any matter within the jurisdiction of the Patent and Trademark Office, knowingly and willfully falsifies, conceals, or covers up by any trick, scheme, or device a material fact, or makes any false, fictitious or fraudulent statements or representations, or makes or uses any false writing or document knowing the same to contain any false, fictitious or fraudulent statement or entry, shall be subject to the penalties set forth under 18 U.S.C. 1001, and that violations of this paragraph may jeopardize the validity of the application or document, or the validity or enforceability of any patent, trademark registration, or certificate resulting therefrom; and
  - (2) To the best of the party's knowledge, information and belief, formed after an inquiry reasonable under the circumstances, that —
- (i) The paper is not being presented for any improper purpose, such as to harass someone or to cause unnecessary delay or needless increase in the cost of prosecution before the Office;
- (ii) The claims and other legal contentions therein are warranted by existing law or by a nonfrivolous argument for the extension, modification, or reversal of existing law or the establishment of new law;
- (iii) The allegations and other factual contentions have evidentiary support or, if specifically so identified, are likely to have evidentiary support after a reasonable opportunity for further investigation or discovery; and
- (iv) The denials of factual contentions are warranted on the evidence, or if specifically so identified, are reasonably based on a lack of information or belief.
- (c) Violations of paragraph (b)(1) of this section by a practitioner or non-practitioner may jeopardize the validity of the application or document, or the validity or enforceability of any patent, trademark registration, or certificate resulting therefrom. Violations of any of paragraphs (b)(2)(i) through (iv) of this section are, after notice and reasonable opportunity to respond, subject to such sanctions as deemed appropriate by the Commissioner, or the Commissioner's designee, which may include, but are not limited to, any combination of
  - (1) Holding certain facts to have been established;
  - (2) Returning papers;
  - (3) Precluding a party from filing a paper, or presenting or contesting an issue;
  - (4) Imposing a monetary sanction;
  - (5) Requiring a terminal disclaimer for the period of the delay; or
  - (6) Terminating the proceedings in the Patent and Trademark Office.

(d) Any practitioner violating the provisions of this section may also be subject to disciplinary action. See § 10.23(c)(15). [Added 50 FR 5175, Feb. 6, 1985, effective Mar. 8, 1985; para. (a) revised, 58 FR 54494, Oct. 22, 1993, effective Nov. 22, 1993; paras. (a) & (b) revised, paras. (c) & (d) added, 62 FR 53131, Oct. 10, 1997, effective Dec. 1, 1997; para. (a) revised, 69 FR 56481, Sept. 21, 2004, effective Oct. 21, 2004]

See supplement of 17 June, 1999. The Patent and Trademark Office is relying on petitioner's duty of candor and good faith and accepting a statement made by Petitioner. See Changes to Patent Practice and Procedure, 62 Fed. Reg. at 53160 and 53178, 1203 Off. Gaz. Pat. Office at 88 and 103 (responses to comments 64 and 109)(applicant obligated under 37 C.F.R. §10.18 to inquire into the underlying facts and circumstances when providing statements to the Patent and Trademark Office).

### STATUTES, REGULATIONS AND ANALYSIS

Congress has authorized the Commissioner to "revive an application if the delay is shown to the satisfaction of the Commissioner to have been "unavoidable." 35 U.S.C. §133 (1994).<sup>2</sup>

The regulations at 37 C.F.R. §1.137(a) and (b) set forth the requirements for a petitioner to revive a previously unavoidably or unintentionally, respectively, abandoned application under this congressional grant of authority. The language of 35 U.S.C. §133 and 37 C.F.R. §1.137(a) is clear, unambiguous, and without qualification: the delay in tendering the reply to the outstanding Office action, as well as filing the first petition seeking revival, must have been unavoidable for the reply now to be accepted on petition.<sup>3</sup>

Delays in responding properly raise the question whether delays are unavoidable.<sup>4</sup> Where there is a question whether the delay was unavoidable, Petitioners must meet the burden of establishing that the delay was unavoidable within the meaning of 35 U.S.C. §133 and 37 C.F.R. §1.137(a).<sup>5</sup> And the Petitioner must be diligent in attending to the matter.<sup>6</sup> Failure to do so does not constitute the care required under Pratt,<sup>7</sup> and so cannot satisfy the test for diligence and due care.

(By contrast, <u>unintentional</u> delays are those that do not satisfy the very strict statutory and regulatory requirements of unavoidable delay, <u>and</u> also, by definition, are not intentional.<sup>8</sup>))

Upon failure of the applicant to prosecute the application within six months after any action therein, of which notice has been given or mailed to the applicant, or within such shorter time, not less than thirty days, as fixed by the Commissioner in such action, the application shall be regarded as abandoned by the parties thereto, unless it be shown to the satisfaction of the Commissioner that such delay was unavoidable.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> 35 U.S.C. §133 provides:

<sup>35</sup> U.S.C. §133 Time for prosecuting application.

Therefore, by example, an <u>unavoidable</u> delay in the payment of the Filing Fee might occur if a reply is shipped by the US Postal Service, but due to catastrophic accident, the delivery is not made.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> See: Changes to Patent Practice and Procedure; Final Rule Notice, 62 Fed. Reg. at 53158-59 (October 10, 1997), 1203 Off. Gaz. Pat. Office at 86-87 (October 21, 1997).

See: In re Application of G, 11 USPQ2d 1378, 1380 (Comm'r Pats. 1989).

<sup>6</sup> See: Diligence in Filing Petitions to Revive and Petitions to Withdraw the Holding of Abandonment, 1124 Off. Gaz. Pat. Office 33 (March 19, 1991). It was and is Petitioner's burden to exercise diligence in seeking either to have the holding of abandonment withdrawn or the application revived. See 1124 Off. Gaz. Pat. Office supra.

The test of diligence in the prosecution of an application before the Commissioner is, in the context of ordinary human affairs, the test is such care as is generally used and observed by prudent and careful persons in relation to their most important business. Ex parte Pratt, 1887 Dec. Comm'r Pat. 31 (Comm'r Pat. 1887); Ex parte Henrich, 1913 Dec. Comm'r Pat. 139, 141 (Comm'r. Pat. 1913).

Therefore, by example, an <u>unintentional</u> delay in the reply might occur if the reply and transmittal form are <u>to be</u> prepared for shipment by the US Postal Service, but other pressing matters distract one's attention and the mail is not timely deposited for shipment.

# As to the Allegation of Unintentional Delay

The requirements for a grantable petition under 37 C.F.R. §1.137(b) are the petition and fee, a statement/showing of unintentional delay, a proper reply, and—where appropriate—a terminal disclaimer and fee.

The record (including the petition filed on 12 June, 2006) does not necessitate a finding that the delay between midnight 21 July, 2005 (date of abandonment), and 12 June, 2006 (date of filing of grantable petition), was not unintentional.

Rather, the Patent and Trademark Office is relying in this matter on the duty of candor and good faith of Petitioners Erickson, Jurrius and McCoy and Counsel Doyle B. Johnson (Reg. No. 39,240) when accepting Petitioners' representation that the delay in filing the response was unintentional.<sup>9</sup>

It appears that Petitioner has satisfied the requirements of the regulation.

#### CONCLUSION

The petition under 37 C.F.R. §1.137(b) hereby is **granted**.

The instant application is released to Publications Branch to be processed into a patent in due course.

Telephone inquiries concerning <u>this decision</u> may be directed to the undersigned at (571) 272-3214.

John J. Gillon, Jr. Senior Attorney Office of Petitions

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>9</sup> See Changes to Patent Practice and Procedure, 62 Fed. Reg. at 53160 and 53178, 1203 Off. Gaz. Pat. Office at 88 and 103 (responses to comments 64 and 109)(applicant obligated under 37 C.F.R. §10.18 to inquire into the underlying facts and circumstances when providing the statement required by 37 C.F.R. §1.137(b) to the Patent and Trademark Office).

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.D. Box 1630 Alexandria, Virgiain 22313-1450

### NOTICE OF ALLOWANCE AND FEE(S) DUE

7590

04/21/2005

Crosby Heafey Roach & May Two Embarcadero Center Suite 2000 P O Box 7936 San Francisco, CA 94120-7936

-	EXAMINER	
	LEE, CHI HO A	

ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER

2663

DATE MAILED: 04/21/2005

[	APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
•	09/708,172	11/07/2000	Timothy Lee Erickson	20583.00110	1129

TITLE OF INVENTION: INTERCOM SYSTEM HAVING UNIFIED CONTROL AND AUDIO DATA TRANSPORT

APPLN. TYPE	SMALL ENTITY	ISSUE FEE	PUBLICATION FEE	TOTAL FEE(S) DUE	DATE DUE
nonprovisional	NO	\$1400	en.	\$1400	07/21/2005

THE APPLICATION IDENTIFIED ABOVE HAS BEEN EXAMINED AND IS ALLOWED FOR ISSUANCE AS A PATENT. PROSECUTION ON THE MERITS IS CLOSED. THIS NOTICE OF ALLOWANCE IS NOT A GRANT OF PATENT RIGHTS. THIS APPLICATION IS SUBJECT TO WITHDRAWAL FROM ISSUE AT THE INITIATIVE OF THE OFFICE OR UPON PETITION BY THE APPLICANT. SEE 37 CFR 1.313 AND MPEP 1308.

THE ISSUE FEE AND PUBLICATION FEE (IF REQUIRED) MUST BE PAID WITHIN THREE MONTHS FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS NOTICE OR THIS APPLICATION SHALL BE REGARDED AS ABANDONED. THIS STATUTORY PERIOD CANNOT BE EXTENDED. SEE 35 U.S.C. 151. THE ISSUE FEE DUE INDICATED ABOVE REFLECTS A CREDIT FOR ANY PREVIOUSLY PAID ISSUE FEE APPLIED IN THIS APPLICATION. THE PTOL-85B (OR AN EQUIVALENT) MUST BE RETURNED WITHIN THIS PERIOD EVEN IF NO FEE IS DUE OR THE APPLICATION WILL BE REGARDED AS ABANDONED.

#### HOW TO REPLY TO THIS NOTICE:

I. Review the SMALL ENTITY status shown above.

If the SMALL ENTITY is shown as YES, verify your current SMALL ENTITY status:

- A. If the status is the same, pay the TOTAL FEE(S) DUE shown shove
- B. If the status above is to be removed, check box 5b on Part B Fee(s) Transmittal and pay the PUBLICATION FEE (if required) and twice the amount of the ISSUE FEE shown above, or

If the SMALL ENTITY is shown as NO:

- A. Pay TOTAL FEE(S) DUE shown above, or
- B. If applicant claimed SMALL ENTITY status before, or is now claiming SMALL ENTITY status, check box 5a on Part B Fee(s) Transmittal and pay the PUBLICATION FEE (if required) and 1/2 the ISSUE FEE shown above.
- II. PART B FEE(S) TRANSMITTAL should be completed and returned to the United States Patent and Trademark Office (USPTO) with your ISSUE FEE and PUBLICATION FEE (if required). Even if the fee(s) have already been paid, Part B Fee(s) Transmittal should be completed and returned. If you are charging the fee(s) to your deposit account, section "4b" of Part B Fee(s) Transmittal should be completed and an extra copy of the form should be submitted.
- III. All communications regarding this application must give the application number. Please direct all communications prior to issuance to Mail Stop ISSUE FEE unless advised to the contrary.

IMPORTANT REMINDER: Utility patents issuing on applications filed on or after Dec. 12, 1980 may require payment of maintenance fees. It is patentee's responsibility to ensure timely payment of maintenance fees when due.

#### PART B - FEE(S) TRANSMITTAL

Complete and send this form, together with applicable fee(s), to: Mail

Mail Stop ISSUE FEE Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

(703) 746-4000 or Fax INSTRUCTIONS: This form should be used for transmitting the ISSUE FEE and PUBLICATION FEE (if required). Blocks 1 through 5 should be completed where appropriate. All further correspondence including the Patent, advance orders and notification of maintenance fees will be mailed to the current correspondence address as indicated unless corrected below or directed otherwise in Block 1, by (a) specifying a new correspondence address; and/or (b) indicating a separate "FEE ADDRESS" for maintenance fee notifications. CURRENT CORRESPONDENCE ADDRESS (Note: Use Block I for any change of address) Note: A certificate of mailing can only be used for domestic mailings of the Fee(s) Transmittal. This certificate cannot be used for any other accompanying papers. Each additional paper, such as an assignment or formal drawing, must have its own certificate of mailing or transmission. 7590 04/21/2005 Crosby Heafey Roach & May Certificate of Mailing or Transmission I hereby certify that this Fee(s) Transmittal is being deposited with the United States Postal Service with sufficient postage for first class mail in an envelope addressed to the Mail Stop ISSUE FEE address above, or being facsimile transmitted to the USPTO (703) 746-4000, on the date indicated below. Two Embarcadero Center **Suite 2000** P O Box 7936 (Depositor's ne San Francisco, CA 94120-7936 (Siz (Dat ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR 09/708.172 20583.00110 1129 11/07/2000 Timothy Lee Erickson TITLE OF INVENTION: INTERCOM SYSTEM HAVING UNIFIED CONTROL AND AUDIO DATA TRANSPORT APPLN, TYPE SMALL ENTITY ISSUE FEE PUBLICATION FEE TOTAL FEE(S) DUE DATE DUE NO \$1400 07/21/2005 nonprovisional \$1400 **EXAMINER** ART UNIT CLASS-SUBCLASS LEE, CHI HO A 2663 370-442000 Change of correspondence address or indication of "Fee Address" (37 CFR 1.363). 2. For printing on the patent front page, list (1) the names of up to 3 registered patent attorneys or agents OR, alternatively, ☐ Change of correspondence address (or Change of Correspondence Address form PTO/SB/122) attached. (2) the name of a single firm (having as a member a registered attorney or agent) and the names of up to 2 registered patent attorneys or agents. If no name is listed, no name will be printed. "Fee Address" indication (or "Fee Address" Indication form PTO/SB/47; Rev 03-02 or more recent) attached. Use of a Customer Number is required. 3. ASSIGNEE NAME AND RESIDENCE DATA TO BE PRINTED ON THE PATENT (print or type) PLEASE NOTE: Unless an assignee is identified below, no assignee data will appear on the patent. If an assignee is identified below, the document has been filed for recordation as set forth in 37 CFR 3.11. Completion of this form is NOT a substitute for filing an assignment. (A) NAME OF ASSIGNEE (B) RESIDENCE: (CITY and STATE OR COUNTRY) Please check the appropriate assignee category or categories (will not be printed on the patent) : 🔲 Individual 🚨 Corporation or other private group entity 🚨 Government 4a. The following fee(s) are enclosed: 4b. Payment of Fee(s): Issue Fee A check in the amount of the fee(s) is enclosed. Publication Fee (No small entity discount permitted) Payment by credit card. Form PTO-2038 is attached. Advance Order - # of Copies\_ The Director is hereby authorized by charge the required fee(s), or credit any overpayment, to Deposit Account Number \_\_\_\_\_\_ (enclose an extra copy of this form). 5. Change in Entity Status (from status indicated above) a. Applicant claims SMALL ENTITY status. See 37 CFR 1.27. D b. Applicant is no longer claiming SMALL ENTITY status. See 37 CFR 1.27(g)(2). The Director of the USPTO is requested to apply the Issue Fee and Publication Fee (if any) or to re-apply any previously paid issue fee to the application identified above.

NOTE: The Issue Fee and Publication Fee (if required) will not be accepted from anyone other than the applicant; a registered attorney or agent; or the assignee or other party in interest as shown by the records of the United States Patent and Trademark Office. Authorized Signature Typed or printed name Registration No.

This collection of information is required by 37 CFR 1.311. The information is required to obtain or retain a benefit by the public which is to file (and by the USPTO to process) an application. Confidentiality is governed by 35 U.S.C. 122 and 37 CFR 1.14. This collection is estimated to take 12 minutes to complete, including gathering, preparing, and submitting the completed application form to the USPTO. Time will vary depending upon the individual case. Any comments on the amount of time you require to complete this form and/or suggestions for reducing this burden, should be sent to the Chief Information Officer, U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, U.S. Department of Commerce, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450. DO NOT SEND FEES OR COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS. SEND TO: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450.

Under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, no persons are required to respond to a collection of information unless it displays a valid OMB control number.



### United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.spika.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/708,172	11/07/2000	Timothy Lee Erickson	20583.00110	1129
7:	590 04/21/2005		EXAM	INER
Crosby Heafey R	oach & May		LEE, CH	I HO A
Two Embarcadero	Center			PAPER NUMBER
Suite 2000			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
P O Box 7936			2663	
San Francisco, CA	94120-7936		DATE MAILED: 04/21/200	5

# Determination of Patent Term Adjustment under 35 U.S.C. 154 (b)

(application filed on or after May 29, 2000)

The Patent Term Adjustment to date is 911 day(s). If the issue fee is paid on the date that is three months after the mailing date of this notice and the patent issues on the Tuesday before the date that is 28 weeks (six and a half months) after the mailing date of this notice, the Patent Term Adjustment will be 911 day(s).

If a Continued Prosecution Application (CPA) was filed in the above-identified application, the filing date that determines Patent Term Adjustment is the filing date of the most recent CPA.

Applicant will be able to obtain more detailed information by accessing the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) WEB site (http://pair.uspto.gov).

Any questions regarding the Patent Term Extension or Adjustment determination should be directed to the Office of Patent Legal Administration at (571) 272-7702. Questions relating to issue and publication fee payments should be directed to the Customer Service Center of the Office of Patent Publication at (703) 305-8283.

	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
•	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
Notice of Allowability	09/708,172	ERICKSON ET AL.		
Houce of Allowability	Examiner	Art Unit		
	Andrew Lee	2663		
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe All claims being allowable, PROSECUTION ON THE MERITS IS herewith (or previously mailed), a Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85) NOTICE OF ALLOWABILITY IS NOT A GRANT OF PATENT RI of the Office or upon petition by the applicant. See 37 CFR 1.313	(OR REMAINS) CLOSED in this app or other appropriate communication IGHTS. This application is subject to	dication. If not included will be mailed in due course. THIS		
1. A This communication is responsive to 2/10/05.				
2. ☑ The allowed claim(s) is/are <u>1 and 2</u> .				
3. $\boxtimes$ The drawings filed on <u>07 November 2000</u> are accepted by	the Examiner.	:		
3.				
Attachment(s) 1. □ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	5. Notice of Informal P	atent Application (PTO-152)		
2. Notice of Draftperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	6. Interview Summary	(PTO-413),		
3. Information Disclosure Statements (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0	Paper No./Mail Dat 8), 7. ☐ Examiner's Amenda			
Paper No./Mail Date  4. ☐ Examiner's Comment Regarding Requirement for Deposit	8. Examiner's Stateme	nt of Reasons for Allowance		
of Biological Material	9.  Other			
	P	METERNAMEN		



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patcus and Trademark Office
Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
FO. Dos 1850
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.usspo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/708,172	11/07/2000	Timothy Lec Erickson	20583.00110 1129	
75	90 11/08/2005		EXAM	INER
REED SMITH	LLP		LEF., CH	II HO A
Two Embarcade	ero Center, Suite 2000		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	

P. O. Box 7936 San Francisco, CA 04120-7936

2663 **DATE MAILED: 11/08/2005** 

ART UNIT

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Under Secretary of Commerce for Bittellectual Property and Director of the United States Patenti and Trademark Office

# NOTICE OF DRAWING INCONSISTENCY WITH SPECIFICATION

The drawings filed 11 5 00 have been received. However, an inconsistency exists between the drawings and the Brief Description of the Drawings in the specification.
Figures are listed in the Brief Description of the Drawings in the specification but not contained in the Drawings.
Figures are contained in the Drawings but not listed in the Brief Description of the Drawings in the specification.
Applicant is required to correct the above-noted inconsistency within a time period of ONE MONTH or THIRTY (30) DAYS, whichever is longer, from the mailing date of this Notice, or within the time remaining in the time period set forth in the Notice of Allowability (Form PTOL-37) to file corrected drawings, whichever is longer. NO EXTENSION OF THIS TIME PERIOD MAY BE GRANTED UNDER EITHER 37 CFR 1.136 (a) OR (b)
Failure to correct the above noted inconsistency will result in abandonment of the application.
The file will be held in the Publishing Division to await the correction of the inconsistency.
Return Corrected Drawings/Specification to: Mail Stop Issue Fee Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

FORM PTO-1631 (REV. 10-03)

Office of Patent Publication/Publishing Division

1-888-786-0101

Customer Service: 703-308-6789



## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTAIENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P. Dos 1450
Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450

own.uspia.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/708,172	11/07/2000	Timothy Lee Erickson	20583.00110	1129
75	90 11/21/2005		EXAM	INEK
REED SMITH	LLP		LEE, CI	II HO A
Two Embarcado	ero Center, Suite 2000			
P. O. Box 7936			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
San Francisco,	CA 04120-7936		2663	•
			DATE MAILED: 11/21/200	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Notice of Abandonment    D8/708,172		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Examiner Lee  2663  - The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address— This application is abandoned in view of.		00/709 470	1			
Lee	Notice of Abandonment					
This application is abandoned in view of:  1. Applicant's failure to timely file a proper reply to the Office letter mailed on			Artonit			
This application is abandoned in view of:			2663			
1.	The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address-			
(a)   A repty was received on (with a Certificate of Mailing or Transmission dated), which is after the expiration of the period for repty (including a total extension of time of months) which expired on (b)   A proposed repty was received on tuit it does not constitute a proper repty under 37 CFR 1.113 (a) to the final rejection. (A proper repty under 37 CFR 1.113 (a) a final rejection consists only of: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with spepale lev) or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114).  (c)   A repty was received on to it it does not constitute a proper repty, or a bone fide attempt at a proper repty, to the nonfinal rejection. See 37 CFR 1.58(a) and 1.111. (See explanation in box 7 below).  (d)   No repty has been received on to it does not constitute a proper repty, or a bone fide attempt at a proper repty, to the nonfinal rejection. See 37 CFR 1.18(a) and 1.111. (See explanation in box 7 below).  (d)   No repty has been received on (with a Certificate, within the statutory period of three months from the mailing date of the Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85).  (e)   The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, was received on (with a Certificate of Mailing or Transmission dated ), which is safer the expiration of the statutory period for payment of the issue fee (and publication fee) set in the Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85).  (b)   The submitted fee of \$Q is insufficient. A balance of \$1402 is due.  The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, has not been received.  3   Applicant's failure to timely file corrected drawings as required by, and within the three-month period set in, the Notice of Allowability (PTO-37).  (b)   No corrected drawings have been received.  4   The letter of express abandonment which is signed by an attorney or agent (acting in a representative capacity under 37 CFR	This application is abandoned in view of:					
(b)   A proposed reply was received on but it does not constitute a proper reply under 37 CFR 1.113 (a) to the final rejection.  (A proper reply under 37 CFR 1.131 to a final rejection consists only of; (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for sillowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal tee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114).  (c)   A reply was received on but it does not constitute a proper reply, or a bona fide alternpt at a proper reply, to the non-final rejection. See 37 CFR 1.85(a) and 1.111. (See explanation in box 7 below).  (d)   No reply has been received.  2. ☑ Applicant's failure to timely pay the required issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, within the statutory period of three months from the mailing date of the Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85).  (a)   The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, was received on (with a Certificate of Mailing or Transmission dated), which is after the expiration of the statutory period for payment of the issue fee (and publication fee) set in the Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85).  (b) ☑ The submitted fee of \$Q is insufficient. A balance of \$1400 is due.  The issue fee required by 37 CFR 1.18 is \$1400. The publication fee, if required by 37 CFR 1.18(d), is \$0.  (c) □ The issue fee required by 37 CFR 1.18 is \$1400. The publication fee, if required by 37 CFR 1.18(d), is \$0.  (d) □ Proposed corrected drawings were received on (with a Certificate of Mailing or Transmission dated), which is after the expiration of the period for reply.  (b) □ No corrected drawings have been received.  4. □ The letter of express abandonment which is signed by the attorney or agent of record, the assignee of the entire interest, or all of the applicants.  5. □ The letter of express abandonment which is signed by an attorney or agent of record, the assignee of the entire interest, or all of the decision by the Board of Patent Appeals and	(a) LI A reply was received on (with a Certificate of N	failing or Transmission dated	_), which is after the expiration of the			
(A proper reply under 37 CFR 1.113 to a final rejection consists only of: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114).  (c) ☐ A reply was received on but it does not constitute a proper reply, or a bona fide attempt at a proper reply, to the non-final rejection. See 37 CFR 1.85(a) and 1.111. (See explanation in box 7 below).  (d) ☐ No reply has been received.  2. ☒ Applicant's failure to timely pay the required issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, within the statutory period of three months from the mailing date of the Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85).  (a) ☐ The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, was received on (with a Certificate of Mailing or Transmission dated), which is after the expiration of the statutory period for payment of the issue fee and publication fee) set in the Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85).  (b) ☒ The submitted fee of \$Q is insufficient. A balance of \$1400 is due.  The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, was not been received.  3. ☐ Applicant's failure to timely file corrected drawings as required by, and within the three-month period set in, the Notice of Allowability (PTO-37).  (b) ☐ Proposed corrected drawings were received on (with a Certificate of Mailing or Transmission dated), which is after the expiration of the period for reply.  (b) ☐ No corrected drawings have been received on (with a Certificate of Mailing or Transmission dated), which is after the expiration of the period for reply.  (b) ☐ No corrected drawings have been received on (with a Certificate of Mailing or Transmission dated), which is after the expiration of the period for the period for received on (with a Certificate of Mailing or Transmission dated), which is after the expiration of the period for the period for received on	(b) A proposed reply was received on, but it does	not constitute a proper reply under	37 CFR 1.113 (a) to the final rejection.			
Initial rejection. Sets 37 CFR 1.85(a) and 1.111. (See explanation in box 7 below).  (d) No reply has been received.  2. Applicant's failure to timely pay the required Issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, within the statutory period of three months from the mailing date of the Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85).  (a) The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, was received on (with a Certificate of Mailing or Transmission dated), which is after the expiration of the statutory period for payment of the issue fee (and publication fee) set in the Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85).  (b) The submitted fee of \$0 is insufficient. A balance of \$1400 is due.  The issue fee required by 37 CFR 1.18 is \$1400. The publication fee, if required by 37 CFR 1.18(d), is \$0.  (c) The Issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, has not been received.  3. Applicant's failure to timely file corrected drawings as required by, and within the three-month period set in, the Notice of Allowability (PTO-37).  (a) Proposed corrected drawings were received on (with a Certificate of Mailing or Transmission dated), which is after the expiration of the period for reply.  (b) No corrected drawings have been received.  4. The letter of express abandonment which is signed by the attorney or agent (acting in a representative capacity under 37 CFR 1.34(a)) upon the filing of a continuing application.  6. The decision by the Board of Patent Appeals and Interference rendered on and because the period for seeking court review of the decision has expired and there are no allowed claims.  7. The reason(s) below:	(A proper reply under 37 CFR 1.113 to a final rejection application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed	n consists only of: (1) a timely filed a	mendment which places the			
2. Applicant's failure to timely pay the required issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, within the statutory period of three months from the mailing date of the Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85).  (a) The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, was received on (with a Certificate of Mailing or Transmission dated), which is after the expiration of the statutory period for payment of the issue fee (and publication fee) set in the Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85).  (b) The submitted fee of \$0 is insufficient. A balance of \$1400 is due.  The issue fee required by 37 CFR 1.18 is \$1400. The publication fee, if required by 37 CFR 1.18(d), is \$0.  (c) The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, has not been received.  3. Applicant's failure to timely file corrected drawings as required by, and within the three-month period set in, the Notice of Allowability (PTO-37).  (a) Proposed corrected drawings were received on (with a Certificate of Mailing or Transmission dated), which is after the expiration of the period for reply.  (b) No corrected drawings have been received.  4. The letter of express abandonment which is signed by the attorney or agent of record, the assignee of the entire interest, or all of the applicants.  5. The letter of express abandonment which is signed by an attorney or agent (acting in a representative capacity under 37 CFR 1.34(a)) upon the filing of a continuing application.  6. The decision by the Board of Patent Appeals and Interference rendered on and because the period for seeking court review of the decision has expired and there are no allowed claims.  7. The reason(s) below:	(c) A reply was received on but it does not constitutional rejection. See 37 CFR 1.85(a) and 1.111. (See	ute a proper reply, or a bona fide att explanation in box 7 below).	tempt at a proper reply, to the non-			
ricer the mating date of the Notice of Allowance (PTOL-55).  (a) ☐ The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, was received on (with a Certificate of Mailing or Transmission dated), which is after the expiration of the statutory period for payment of the issue fee (and publication fee) set in the Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85).  (b) ☐ The submitted fee of \$Q is insufficient. A balance of \$1400 is due.  The issue fee required by 37 CFR 1.18 is \$1400. The publication fee, if required by 37 CFR 1.18(d), is \$Q.  (c) ☐ The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, has not been received.  3. ☐ Applicant's failure to timely file corrected drawings as required by, and within the three-month period set in, the Notice of Allowability (PTO-37).  (a) ☐ Proposed corrected drawings were received on (with a Certificate of Mailing or Transmission dated), which is after the expiration of the period for reply.  (b) ☐ No corrected drawings have been received.  4. ☐ The letter of express abandonment which is signed by the attorney or agent of record, the assignee of the entire interest, or all of the applicants.  5. ☐ The letter of express abandonment which is signed by an attorney or agent (acting in a representative capacity under 37 CFR 1.34(a)) upon the filing of a continuing application.  6. ☐ The decision by the Board of Patent Appeals and Interference rendered on and because the period for seeking court review of the decision has expired and there are no allowed claims.  7. ☐ The reason(s) below:		·				
Allowance (PTOL-95).  (b) The submitted fee of \$Q\$ is insufficient. A balance of \$1400 is due.  The issue fee required by 37 CFR 1.18 is \$1400. The publication fee, if required by 37 CFR 1.18(d), is \$Q\$.  (c) The Issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, has not been received.  3. Applicant's failure to timely file corrected drawings as required by, and within the three-month period set in, the Notice of Allowability (PTO-37).  (a) Proposed corrected drawings were received on (with a Certificate of Mailing or Transmission dated), which is after the expiration of the period for reply.  (b) No corrected drawings have been received.  4. The letter of express abandonment which is signed by the attorney or agent of record, the assignee of the entire interest, or all of the applicants.  5. The letter of express abandonment which is signed by an attorney or agent (acting in a representative capacity under 37 CFR 1.34(a)) upon the filling of a continuing application.  6. The decision by the Board of Patent Appeals and Interference rendered on and because the period for seeking court review of the decision has expired and there are no allowed clatms.  7. The reason(s) below:	from the mailing date of the Notice of Allowance (PTOL-8	5).				
The issue fee required by 37 CFR 1.18 is \$1400. The publication fee, if required by 37 CFR 1.18(d), is \$0.  (c)	), which is after the expiration of the statutory po	received on (with a Certific erlod for payment of the issue fee (a	cate of Mailing or Transmission dated and publication fee) set in the Notice of			
(c) The Issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, has not been received.  3. Applicant's failure to timely file corrected drawings as required by, and within the three-month period set in, the Notice of Allowability (PTO-37).  (a) Proposed corrected drawings were received on (with a Certificate of Mailing or Transmission dated), which is after the expiration of the period for reply.  (b) No corrected drawings have been received.  4. The letter of express abandonment which is signed by the attorney or agent of record, the assignee of the entire interest, or all of the applicants.  5. The letter of express abandonment which is signed by an attorney or agent (acting in a representative capacity under 37 CFR 1.34(a)) upon the filing of a continuing application.  6. The decision by the Board of Patent Appeals and Interference rendered on and because the period for seeking court review of the decision has expired and there are no allowed claims.  7. The reason(s) below:	(b) ☐ The submitted fee of \$Q is insufficient. A balance of \$	<u>1400</u> is due.				
3. Applicant's failure to timely file corrected drawings as required by, and within the three-month period set in, the Notice of Allowability (PTC-37).  (a) Proposed corrected drawings were received on (with a Certificate of Mailing or Transmission dated), which is after the expiration of the period for reply.  (b) No corrected drawings have been received.  4. The letter of express abandonment which is signed by the attorney or agent of record, the assignee of the entire interest, or all of the applicants.  5. The letter of express abandonment which is signed by an attorney or agent (acting in a representative capacity under 37 CFR 1.34(a)) upon the filing of a continuing application.  6. The decision by the Board of Patent Appeals and Interference rendered on and because the period for seeking court review of the decision has expired and there are no allowed claims.  7. The reason(s) below:  Igd  Petitions to revive under 37 CFR 1.137(a) or (b), or requests to withdraw the holding of abandonment under 37 CFR 1.181, should be promptly filed to minimize any negative effects on patent term.  1. Separation of the decision has expired and term.  1. Separation of the decision patent term.  1. Separation of the decision has expired and there are no allowed claims.	The issue fee required by 37 CFR 1.18 is \$1400. The issue fee required by 37 CFR 1.18 is \$1400.	ne publication fee, if required by 37	CFR 1.18(d), Is \$ <i>Q</i> .			
Allowability (PTC-37).  (a) Proposed corrected drawings were received on (with a Certificate of Mailing or Transmission dated), which is after the expiration of the period for reply.  (b) No corrected drawings have been received.  4. The letter of express abandonment which is signed by the attorney or agent of record, the assignee of the entire interest, or all of the applicants.  5. The letter of express abandonment which is signed by an attorney or agent (acting in a representative capacity under 37 CFR 1.34(a)) upon the filing of a continuing application.  6. The decision by the Board of Patent Appeals and Interference rendered on and because the period for seeking court review of the decision has expired and there are no allowed claims.  7. The reason(s) below:	(c) The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, has no	ot been received.	_			
after the expiration of the period for reply.  (b) No corrected drawings have been received.  4. The letter of express abandonment which is signed by the attorney or agent of record, the assignee of the entire interest, or all of the applicants.  5. The letter of express abandonment which is signed by an attorney or agent (acting in a representative capacity under 37 CFR 1.34(a)) upon the filling of a continuing application.  6. The decision by the Board of Patent Appeals and Interference rendered on and because the period for seeking court review of the decision has expired and there are no allowed claims.  7. The reason(s) below:  Igd  Petitions to revive under 37 CFR 1.137(a) or (b), or requests to withdraw the holding of abandonment under 37 CFR 1.181, should be promptly filed to unintritize any negative effects on patent term.  U.S. Platent and Trademark Office	Applicant's failure to timely file corrected drawings as requ     Allowability (PTO-37).	ilred by, and within the three-month	period set in, the Notice of			
4.  The letter of express abandonment which is signed by the attorney or agent of record, the assignee of the entire interest, or all of the applicants.  5.  The letter of express abandonment which is signed by an attorney or agent (acting in a representative capacity under 37 CFR 1.34(a)) upon the filing of a continuing application.  6.  The decision by the Board of Patent Appeals and Interference rendered on and because the period for seeking court review of the decision has expired and there are no allowed claims.  7.  The reason(s) below:  Igd  Petitions to revive under 37 CFR 1.137(a) or (b), or requests to withdraw the holding of abandonment under 37 CFR 1.181, should be promptly filed to minimize any negative effects on patent term.	(a) Proposed corrected drawings were received on after the expiration of the period for reply.	(with a Certificate of Mailing or Tra	nsmission dated), which is			
the applicants.  5. The letter of express abandonment which is signed by an attorney or agent (acting in a representative capacity under 37 CFR 1.34(a)) upon the filling of a continuing application.  6. The decision by the Board of Patent Appeals and Interference rendered on and because the period for seeking court review of the decision has expired and there are no allowed claims.  7. The reason(s) below:  Igd  Petitions to revive under 37 CFR 1.137(a) or (b), or requests to withdraw the holding of abandonment under 37 CFR 1.181, should be promptly filed to minimize any negative effects on patent term.	(b) No corrected drawings have been received.					
1.34(a)) upon the filing of a continuing application.  6. The decision by the Board of Patent Appeals and Interference rendered on and because the period for seeking court review of the decision has expired and there are no allowed claims.  7. The reason(s) below:  Igd  Petitions to revive under 37 CFR 1.137(a) or (b), or requests to withdraw the holding of abandonment under 37 CFR 1.181, should be promptly filed to minimize any negative effects on patent term.	4. The letter of express abandonment which is signed by the the applicants.	e attorney or agent of record, the as	signee of the entire interest, or all of			
of the decision has expired and there are no allowed claims.  7. The reason(s) below:  Igd  Petitions to revive under 37 CFR 1.137(a) or (b), or requests to withdraw the holding of abandonment under 37 CFR 1.181, should be promptly filed to minimize any negative effects on patent term.  U.S. Patent and Trademark Office	The letter of express abandonment which is signed by an 1.34(a)) upon the filing of a continuing application.	attomey or agent (acting in a repre	sentative capacity under 37 CFR			
lgd  Petitions to revive under 37 CFR 1.137(a) or (b), or requests to withdraw the holding of abandonment under 37 CFR 1.181, should be promptly filed to minimize any negative effects on patent term.  U.S. Patent and Trademark Office			se the period for seeking court review			
Petitions to revive under 37 CFR 1.137(a) or (b), or requests to withdraw the holding of abandonment under 37 CFR 1.181, should be promptly filed to minimize any negative effects on patent term.  U.S. Patent and Trademark Office	7. The reason(s) below:					
Petitions to revive under 37 CFR 1.137(a) or (b), or requests to withdraw the holding of abandonment under 37 CFR 1.181, should be promptly filed to minimize any negative effects on patent term.  U.S. Patent and Trademark Office						
Petitions to revive under 37 CFR 1.137(a) or (b), or requests to withdraw the holding of abandonment under 37 CFR 1.181, should be promptly filed to minimize any negative effects on patent term.  U.S. Patent and Trademark Office						
Petitions to revive under 37 CFR 1.137(a) or (b), or requests to withdraw the holding of abandonment under 37 CFR 1.181, should be promptly filed to minimize any negative effects on patent term.  U.S. Patent and Trademark Office						
minimize any negative effects on patent term.  U.S. Patent and Trademark Office		tgd				
minimize any negative effects on patent term.  U.S. Patent and Trademark Office						
	minimize any negative effects on patent term.	w the holding of abandonment under 37	7 CFR 1.181, should be promptly filed to			
		of Abandonment	Part of Paper No. 0			



### United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Tradezuark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Viginia 22313-1450

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/708,172	11/07/2000	Timothy Lee Erickson	20583.00110	1129
\$8076	7590 05/26/2006		EXAMINER	
REED SMIT	ΓH, LLP		LEE, CHI HO	ANDREW
TWO EMBA	RCADERO CENTER			
<b>SUITE 2000</b>			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
SAN FRANC	CISCO, CA 94111		2616	

DATE MAILED: 05/26/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

PTO-90C (Rev. 10/03)

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Notice of Abandonment	09/708,172	ERICKSON					
	Examiner	Art Unit					
	LEE	2616					
- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address-							
This application is abandoned in view of:							
(a) LI A reply was received on (with a Certificate of N period for reply (including a total extension of time of	Applicant's failure to timely file a proper reply to the Office letter mailed on  (a) A reply was received on (with a Certificate of Mailing or Transmission dated), which is after the expiration of the period for reply (including a total extension of time of month(s)) which expired on						
(b) A proposed reply was received on, but it does	not constitute a proper reply under 37	CFR 1.113 (a) to the final rejection.					
(A proper reply under 37 CFR 1.113 to a final rejection application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 (	l Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); c CFR 1.114).	or (3) a timely filed Request for					
(c) ☐ A reply was received on but it does not constitution. See 37 CFR 1.85(a) and 1.111. (See	rte a proper reply, or a bona fide atte explanation in box 7 below).	mpt at a proper reply, to the non-					
(d) ☐ No reply has been received.							
<ol> <li>Applicant's failure to timely pay the required issue fee and from the mailing date of the Notice of Allowance (PTOL-8)</li> </ol>	5).						
<ul> <li>(a) ☐ The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, was</li></ul>	received on (with a Certifical eriod for payment of the issue fee (an	te of Mailing or Transmission dated d publication fee) set in the Notice of					
(b) ☑ The submitted fee of \$2 is insufficient. A balance of \$	<u>1400</u> is due.						
The Issue fee required by 37 CFR 1.18 is \$1400. The	ne publication fee, if required by 37 C	FR 1.18(d), is \$					
(c) The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, has no	ot been received.						
<ul> <li>Applicant's failure to timely file corrected drawings as requ Allowability (PTO-37).</li> </ul>	ilred by, and within the three-month p	eriod set in, the Notice of					
(a) Proposed corrected drawings were received on after the expiration of the period for reply.	(with a Certificate of Malling or Trans	smission dated), which is					
(b) No corrected drawings have been received.							
. The letter of express abandonment which is signed by the the applicants.	e attorney or agent of record, the assi	gnee of the entire interest, or all of					
<ul> <li>The letter of express abandonment which is signed by an 1.34(a)) upon the filing of a continuing application.</li> </ul>	attorney or agent (acting in a represe	entative capacity under 37 CFR					
The decision by the Board of Patent Appeals and Interference rendered on and because the period for seeking court review of the decision has expired and there are no allowed claims.							
. The reason(s) below:							
·							
		lgd .					
retitions to revive under 37 CFR 1.137(a) or (b), or requests to withdra-	w the holding of abandonment under 37 C	FR 1.181, should be promptly filed to					